

# Appendix C

## Collation of public comments in response to consultation for the time extension to the existing residents parking scheme.

Letters were distributed to residents of Dover who were in the various residents permit parking schemes on the 27/04/2022 and were asked if they would agree to the time of the scheme increased from 8.30am-5.30pm to 7am-8pm. The deadline for responses was the 20/05/2022.

Collation of public comments in response to the informal consultation in the above area.

<u>Road Name</u>	<u>Pages</u>
• <b>Permit Zone A</b>	
Maison Dieu Place	2
Wood Street	2
• <b>Permit Zone B</b>	
Snargate Street	2
• <b>Permit Zone C</b>	
East Cliff	2/3
• <b>Permit Zone D</b>	
Priory Road	3/4
Saxon Street	4
Norman Street	4/5
Effingham Crescent	5
Queens Gardens	5
New Street	6
• <b>Permit Zone E</b>	
Camden Crescent	6
Cambridge Road	7
Waterloo Crescent	7
• <b>Permit Zone F</b>	
Lancaster Road	7/8
Durham Close	8
Albert Road	8-10
Dour Street	10
Pauls Place	10/11
Priory Grove	11/12
• <b>Permit Zone G</b>	
Castle Street	12
Victoria Park	12/13
Laureston Place	13/14
Castle Hill Road	14

# Collation of public comments in response to consultation for the time extension to the existing residents parking scheme.

- Summery

15

## Zone A – No responses

### Zone B

#### 1. Snargate Street

##### Against-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.136a	Because the council have decided to build the huge block of flats, with 'no extra parking' on the end of Snargate Street, the current residents cannot park as it is. So Snargate Street should be residents parking only, 24 hours a day!! Q.1) No 01.00am-01.00pm      Q.2)No Mon-Sun
2	No.140	Cannot see why the times have been altered. Will affect several businesses in the area. Q.1) No 9am-5pm      Q.2)Yes

#### 1. Snargate Street

##### Fore-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.131-132	No Comments Q.1) Yes      Q.2)Yes
2	No.133	No Comments Q.1) Yes      Q.2)Yes

### Zone C

#### 2. East Cliff

##### Against-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.7	I would like for the current restrictions to stay in place on East Cliff & Marine Parade. If you do decide to change them to the new time of 7am-8pm, you would be causing a lot of issues to residents. Alternatively, if you allowed for two vehicles to be on a permit and use at the same time, as currently you only allow one interchangeable between two cars. Q.1) No 10.30am-5.30pm      Q.2)Yes
2	No.22	No change necessary, the road is not busy enough to require further restrictions. Q.1) No 08.30am-17.30pm      Q.2)Yes
3	No.63a	It basically means that any friend who wants to visit or help me, needs a visitor permit from 7am-8pm which is the most likely times they will visit. I do

## Collation of public comments in response to consultation for the time extension to the existing residents parking scheme.

		not have a car – so my visitors should be able to visit without a permit before 10am and after 5pm. Q.1) No 10am-5pm Q.2)No Mon-Fri
--	--	---

### 2. East Cliff

#### Fore-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.11a	No Comments Q.1) Yes Q.2)No Mon-Sun
2	No.16	Why can we not have residents parking only from 5pm only? If residents need to go out at night (we currently always have a problem getting a parking when we return) there are lots of heavy-duty white vans that take up the parking , etc. What is the point of paying for a parking permit that allows anyone after 8pm to park for free? Most residents want access to parking space at night whatever time they get home. Q.1) Yes Q.2)No Mon-Sun
3	No.40	Living next to the busy port, I do think the local residents would benefit from 24-hour residential parking- it's often hard to get parked especially at evenings & weekends due to people working/travelling from the port. There are also a lot of cars parking on double yellow lines blocking access and they never seem to get a penalty notice. People seem to know it's worth taking the risk as they will not get caught. Q.1) Yes Q.2)Yes 7 days a week as current restrictions
4	No.50	No Comments Q.1) Yes Q.2)Yes
5	No.53	1. I agree with the proposed changes to the times of the restrictions to 7am - 8pm. 2. I agree with the current days of the week that the restrictions apply. Q.1) Yes Q.2)Yes
6	No.62	No Comments Q.1) Yes Q.2)

### Zone D

#### 3. Priory Road,

#### Against-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.5	I would prefer the times as indicated above MON-FRI 10am -4pm, Many thanks. Q.1) No 10am-4pm Q.2)No Mon-Fri

# Collation of public comments in response to consultation for the time extension to the existing residents parking scheme.

## 3. Priory Road,

Fore-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1		

## 4. Saxon Street,

Against-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No. 5b	No Comments Q.1) No 8.30am-5.30pm Q.2)Yes
2	No. 6a	The times we already have work well. The changes above would affect residents more than other road users. Maybe more enforcement would make more of a difference without affecting residents. Q.1) No 8.30am-5.30pm Q.2)Yes

## 4. Saxon Street,

Fore-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1		

## 5. Norman Street,

Against-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.16	You need to 'enforce' these. You currently don't do it every day all day. We see wardens once every so often, then they never come back after an hour. We pay through the nose for not a lot. Why have permits in a place & restrictions when you never enforce them. It's disgusting. Regularly we see people who aren't residents parking here all day restricting those who are residents with permits from parking. People know you aren't enforcing the area as you should. Q.1) No Q.2)No Mon-Sun
2	No.	

## 5. Norman Street,

Fore-

No.	Resident	feedback
1	No.3	No Comments Q.1) Yes Q.2)Yes

## Collation of public comments in response to consultation for the time extension to the existing residents parking scheme.

2	No.4	To maintain the parking spaces available, we could really do with parking bays being painted (lines added across) because people park in the middle of two cars taking up space that could be used. This can result in the problem of having to move my car repeatedly to park on my street, which is very inconvenient Q.1) Yes Q.2)No Mon-Sun
3	No.11a	No Comments Q.1) Yes Q.2)Yes

### 6. Effingham Crescent

#### Against-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.16	Most non-residents park to go to the shops, so increasing the hours would make little difference to the number of non-resident cars. But increasing the hours would make it more difficult for my visitors – or anyone else’s in the street to park. In the year I’ve lived here, I’ve always had a choice of parking spaces to use at any time of the day. Q.1) No 8.30am-5.30pm (as now) Q.2)Yes

### 6. Effingham Crescent

#### Fore-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1		

### 7. Queens Gardens

#### Against-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.21	Since buying my property at Queens Gardens, there have been lots of issues of parking my car outside my home. Our small stretch of road is an accident waiting to happen due to it being a two-way road, which causes congestion, reversing, paths being mounted outside homes. Cars only park here for 1-hour free parking. I feel that there are only enough spaces for residents cars only! Q.1) No Q.2)Crossed out

### 7. Queens Gardens

#### Fore-

No.	Resident	Feedback

# Collation of public comments in response to consultation for the time extension to the existing residents parking scheme.

## **8. New Street**

**Against-**

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.8	No parking at all in New Street anywhere at the moment, it's like the M25 from am to pm because of the Tummy Station and is getting a danger to life of anyone walking down New Street to get to the shops and car doors slamming outside our flats all hours. Q.1) No 7am-10pm Q.2)No Mon-Sun

## **8. New Street**

**Fore-**

No.	Resident	Feedback
1		

## **Zone E**

### **9. Camden Crescent**

**Against-**

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No. Flat 12	As I already pay road tax, council tax, personal tax and increased household bills and fuel prices, I would find it very reasonable and just if I could park outside my home without any extra cost at all. The existing times could just about allow a full-time worker, working away from home to park cost free but your proposal make this impossible. Surely there are other ways to raise your income. It would be refreshing if you gave the motorist a break. Q.1) No Q.2) No None

### **9. Camden Crescent**

**Fore-**

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.13 Charter House	For more than 20 years, Camden Crescent has had the only free parking near the seafront on Sundays. This means residents cannot park here on busy Sundays, including all of summer. Extending to Sundays would be beneficial to residents and produce more revenue for DDC. Q.1) Yes Q.2)No Mon-Sun
2	No.18 Charter House	Sunday parking is a nightmare, especially in the summer when people come to the seafront to swim and use the sandpit area. Why should Sundays be derestricted? Q.1) Yes Q.2)No Mon-Sun

# Collation of public comments in response to consultation for the time extension to the existing residents parking scheme.

## 10. Cambridge Road

Against-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1		

## 10. Cambridge Road

Fore-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.27 Waterloo Mansions	It would be helpful to have parking without a permit for ½ /1 hour during the day to enable deliveries etc. with no return within 2 hours as is the case now. We assume visitor parking permits will remain as they are now. Q.1) Yes Q.2)No Mon-Sat

## 11. Waterloo Crescent

Against-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No. 4, Flat 5	The proposed 8pm would mean the carers who visit the many elderly residents for evening meal prep & helping prepare for bed, would need to pay for parking as these visits take more than an hour. It is impossible for me to use my car on a Sunday unless I am planning to be away all day. There is never a spare parking space in Zone E. they are all taken by the open water swimmer, who meet every Sunday, from 8.30am & stay most of the day, Please, please make Sundays one hour only! The council would make a fortune in fines. Q.1) No 8am-5pm Q.2)No Mon-Sun

## 11. Waterloo Crescent

Fore-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1		

## Zone F

## 12. Lancaster Road

Against-

## Collation of public comments in response to consultation for the time extension to the existing residents parking scheme.

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.10	Residents should have no restrictions. You charge £110 for a permit, which is already too much and now want to restrict the time. If you made more residents parking you could justify it. This will cause our already filled residents spaces to be taken by the public. Q.1) No Q.2) No
2	No.35	There has never been a problem with parking in our area. Non-residents will park briefly in our street to use the facilities and shops in the town centre. Surely this is good for local business. The residents permits have recently been increased drastically in price based on emissions and without considering that this is a poor area with many trades people who must drive a commercial vehicle to make a living. Dover is a poor and desperate town, please do not kill it even more like has been achieved in Folkestone. Q.1) No 8.30am-5.30pm Q.2) Yes

### **13. Lancaster Road**

Fore-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1		

### **13. Durham Close**

Against-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1		

### **13. Durham Close**

Fore-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No. 19 Windsor House	No Comments Q.1) Yes Q.2)No Mon-Sun

### **14. Albert Road**

Against-

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No. 22	As a parking permit holder, I would like to see our permits valid for residents only, for 24 hours every day. People come home outside existing hours from their work shifts and cannot park because of commercial vehicles park(often using two spaces). Other Zone F areas are a fair walk from here after a long shift! We pay a lot these days to be able to park as near as we can to our homes, then find others, without permits have taken the spaces. If 24 hours







## Collation of public comments in response to consultation for the time extension to the existing residents parking scheme.

2	No.3	We would like the restrictions reduced to 1 hour Monday to Sunday Q.1) Yes Q.2)No Mon-Sun
3	No.5	Parking outside our house with a permit is difficult most days. The problem is people parking on Priory Hill who work in the town, forcing residents of Priory Hill to park on our road, often not moving for days. Q.1) Yes Q.2)No Mon-Sun

### **Zone G**

#### **18. Castle Street**

##### **Against-**

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No. 1	There is a high concentration of guest houses and Air B&B establishments in Castle Street/Castle Hill and Laureston Place area. The proposed restrictions would adversely effect those businesses which are under strain recovering from lockdown restrictions. Any additional restrictions would only increase parking on castle hill which has increased following the introduction of parking permit scheme in Victoria Park. Q.1) No Leave as they are Q.2) No Mon-Sat

#### **18. Castle Street**

##### **Fore-**

No.	Resident	Feedback
1		

#### **19. Victoria Park**

##### **Against-**

No.	Resident	Feedback
1	No.2	Think it might be a waste of time and money changing the signs over. Things are ok as they are and parking has been a lot better for parking, as most of street is empty during the day and plenty of spaces to park. Q.1) No 8.30am-5.30pm Q.2) No Mon-Sun
2	No.12	Since the introduction of the parking scheme, there has been plenty of parking available in Zone G. As a resident, I don't see any reason to extend the scheme further. Q.1) No 8.30am-5.30pm Q.2) No Mon-Fri
3	No.13	If you change the times, more people will park outside Victoria Park earlier in the morning and later at night. I have a permit and it's already very hard to find a space! Let people park closer to the town centre, the high street is dying! I'm heavily pregnant and need to be able to park outside my house! Our permits went up massively this year! Q.1) No none or no change Q.2) No Mon-Fri





# Collation of public comments in response to consultation for the time extension to the existing residents parking scheme.

## Summary

### i) Zone A

Against	0
Fore	0

### ii) Zone B

Against	2
Fore	2

### iii) Zone C

Against	3
Fore	6

### iv) Zone D

Against	8
Fore	4

### v) Zone E

Against	2
Fore	3

### vi) Zone F

Against	5
Fore	12

### vii) Zone G

Against	7
Fore	4

## **TOTALS**

**Against – 27**

**Fore - 31**